2022 Consumer Confidence Report Data RANDOM LAKE WATERWORKS, PWS ID: 46003551

Este informe contiene información importante acerca de su agua potable. Haga que alguien lo traduzca para usted, o hable con alguien que lo entienda.

Dlaim ntawv tshaabzu nuav muaj lug tseemceeb heev nyob rua huv kws has txug cov dlej mej haus. Kuas ib tug paab txhais rua koj, los nrug ib tug kws paub lug thaam.

Water System Information

If you would like to know more about the information contained in this report, please contact Bruce Neerhof at (920) 946-4448.

Opportunity for input on decisions affecting your water quality

Village Board Meeting. First Monday of the month at 6:30PM. 96 Russell Drive Random Lake, WI 53075

Health Information

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the Environmental Protection Agency's safe drinking water hotline (800-426-4791).

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune systems disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. EPA/CDC guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by cryptosporidium and other microbial contaminants are available from the Environmental Protection Agency's safe drinking water hotline (800-426-4791).

Source(s) of Water

Source ID	Source	Depth (in feet)	Status	
1	Groundwater	536	Active	
2	Groundwater	555	Active	

To obtain a summary of the source water assessment please contact, Bruce Neerhof at (920) 946-4448.

Educational Information

The sources of drinking water, both tap water and bottled water, include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally occurring minerals and, in some cases, radioactive material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity.

Contaminants that may be present in source water include:

- Microbial contaminants, such as viruses and bacteria, which may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations and wildlife.
- Inorganic contaminants, such as salts and metals, which can be naturally- occurring or result from urban stormwater runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining or farming.
- Pesticides and herbicides, which may come from a variety of sources such as agriculture, urban stormwater runoff and residential uses.
- Organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, which are by-products of industrial processes and petroleum production, and can also come from gas stations, urban stormwater runoff and septic systems.
- Radioactive contaminants, which can be naturally occurring or be the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.

In order to ensure that tap water is safe to drink, EPA prescribes regulations that limit the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. FDA regulations establish limits for contaminants in bottled water, which shall provide the same protection for public health.

Definitions

Term	Definition
AL	Action Level: The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements which a water system must follow.
HA and HAL	HA: Health Advisory. An estimate of acceptable drinking water levels for a chemical substance based on health effects information. HAL: Health Advisory Level is a concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, poses a health risk

and may require a system to post a public notice. Health Advisories are determined by US EPA. HI: Hazard Index: A Hazard Index is used to assess the potential health impacts associated with mixtures of contaminants. Hazard Index guidance for a class of contaminants or mixture of contaminants may be determined by the US EPA or Wisconsin Department of Health Services. If a Health Index is exceeded a system may be required to post a public notice.
associated with mixtures of contaminants. Hazard Index guidance for a class of contaminants or mixture of contaminants may be determined by the US EPA or Wisconsin Department of Health Services. If a Health Index is exceeded a system may be required to post a public notice.
Level 1 Assessment A Level 1 assessment is a study of the water system to identify potential problems and determine, if possible, why total coliform bacteria have been found in our water system.
A Level 2 assessment is a very detailed study of the water system to identify Level 2 potential problems and determine, if possible, why an E. coli MCL violation has occurred or why total coliform bacteria have been found in our water system, or both, on multiple occasions.
Maximum Contaminant Level: The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set as close to the MCLGs as feasible using the best available treatment technology.
Maximum Contaminant Level Goal: The level of a contaminant in drinking water MCLG below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs allow for a margin of safety.
MFL million fibers per liter
Maximum residual disinfectant level: The highest level of a disinfectant allowed in drinking water. There is convincing evidence that addition of a disinfectant is necessary for control of microbial contaminants.
MRDLG Maximum residual disinfectant level goal: The level of a drinking water disinfectant below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.
mrem/year millirems per year (a measure of radiation absorbed by the body)
NTU Nephelometric Turbidity Units
pCi/l picocuries per liter (a measure of radioactivity)
ppm parts per million, or milligrams per liter (mg/l)
ppb parts per billion, or micrograms per liter (ug/l)
ppt parts per trillion, or nanograms per liter
ppq parts per quadrillion, or picograms per liter
PHGS: Public Health Groundwater Standards are found in NR 140 Groundwater PHGS Quality. The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, poses a health risk and may require a system to post a public notice.
RPHGS RPHGS: Recommended Public Health Groundwater Standards: Groundwater standards proposed by the Wisconsin Department of Health Services. The

Term	Definition
	concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, poses a health risk and may require a system to post a public notice.
SMCL	Secondary drinking water standards or Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance of the drinking water. The SMCLs do not represent health standards.
TCR	Total Coliform Rule
TT	Treatment Technique: A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water.

Detected Contaminants

Your water was tested for many contaminants last year. We are allowed to monitor for some contaminants less frequently than once a year. The following tables list only those contaminants which were detected in your water. If a contaminant was detected last year, it will appear in the following tables without a sample date. If the contaminant was not monitored last year, but was detected within the last 5 years, it will appear in the tables below along with the sample date.

Disinfection Byproducts

Contaminant (units)	Site	MCL	MCLG	Level Found	Range	Sample Date (if prior to 2022)	Violation	Typical Source of Contaminant
HAA5 (ppb)	RL-	60	60	4	4		No	By-product of drinking water chlorination
TTHM (ppb)	RL-	80	0	5.2	5.2		No	By-product of drinking water chlorination

Inorganic Contaminants

Contaminant (units)	Site	MCL	MCLG	Level Found	Danga	Sample Date (if prior to 2022)	Violation	Typical Source of Contaminant
ARSENIC (ppb)		10	n/a	1	0 - 1	9/16/2020	INO	Erosion of natural deposits; Runoff from orchards; Runoff from glass

Contaminant (units)	Site	MCL	MCLG	Level Found	Range	Sample Date (if prior to 2022)	Violation	Typical Source of Contaminant
								and electronics production wastes
BARIUM (ppm)		2	2	0.042	0.021 - 0.042	9/16/2020	No	Discharge of drilling wastes; Discharge from metal refineries; Erosion of natural deposits
CHROMIUM (ppb)		100	100	1	0 - 1	9/16/2020	No	Discharge from steel and pulp mills; Erosion of natural deposits
FLUORIDE (ppm)		4	4	0.4	0.3 - 0.4	9/16/2020	No	Erosion of natural deposits; Water additive which promotes strong teeth; Discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factories
NICKEL (ppb)		100		2.5000	1.7000 - 2.5000	9/16/2020	No	Nickel occurs naturally in soils, ground water and surface waters and is often used in electroplating, stainless steel and alloy products.
NITRATE (N03-N) (ppm)		10	10	0.55	0.52 - 0.55		No	Runoff from fertilizer use; Leaching from septic tanks, sewage; Erosion of natural deposits
SODIUM (ppm)		n/a	n/a	11.00	10.00 - 11.00	9/16/2020	No	n/a

Contaminant (units)	Action Level	MCLG	90th Percentile Level Found	# of Results	Sample Date (if prior to 2022)	Violation	Typical Source of Contaminant
COPPER (ppm)	AL=1.3	1.3	0.3500	0 of 10 results were above the action level.	9/15/2020	No	Corrosion of household plumbing systems; Erosion of natural deposits; Leaching from wood preservatives
LEAD (ppb)	AL=15	0	2.20	0 of 10 results were above the action level.	9/15/2020	No	Corrosion of household plumbing systems; Erosion of natural deposits

Radioactive Contaminants

Contaminant (units)	Site	MCL	MCLG	Level Found	Range	Sample Date (if prior to 2022)	Violation	Typical Source of Contaminant
GROSS ALPHA, EXCL. R & U (pCi/l)		15	0	1.7	1.3 - 1.7	9/16/2020	No	Erosion of natural deposits

Additional Health Information

If present, elevated levels of lead can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and young children. Lead in drinking water is primarily from materials and components associated with service lines and home plumbing. Random Lake Waterworks is responsible for providing high quality drinking water, but cannot control the variety of materials used in plumbing components. When your water has been sitting for several hours, you can minimize the potential for lead exposure by flushing your tap for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using water for drinking or cooking. If you are concerned about lead in your water, you may wish to have your water tested. Information on lead in drinking water, testing methods, and steps you can take to minimize exposure is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline or at www.epa.gov/safewater/lead.

Random Lake Village

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2022 5/16/2023

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.1933	Х	77	Х	8.34	=	123
February	0.2532	Х	77	Х	8.34	=	163
March	0.3367	Х	60	Х	8.34	=	167
April	0.3985	Х	52	Х	8.34	=	173
May	0.3527	Χ	58	Х	8.34	=	171
June	0.3595	Χ	79	Х	8.34	=	237
July	0.2956	Χ	76	Х	8.34	=	188
August	0.3245	Χ	67	Х	8.34	=	181
September	0.2998	Χ	81	Х	8.34	=	201
October	0.2644	Х	75	Х	8.34	=	166
November	0.3794	Х	54	Х	8.34	=	170
December	0.3775	Х	139	Х	8.34	=	438

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	Х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	.45	Х	90	=	0.405
		Х	100	=	.45
Design BOD, lbs/day	749	Х	90	=	674.1
		Х	100	=	749

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months of Influent	flow was greater	Number of times flow was greater than 100% of	BOD was greater	Number of times BOD was greater than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Numb	0				

0

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022 3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) Yes 2022-10-06 O No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes o No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? Yes No If Yes, please explain: 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks **Grease Traps** o Yes o Yes o Yes No No No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks o Yes gallons No Holding Tanks o Yes gallons No **Grease Traps** o Yes gallons No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? o Yes No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

Random Lake Village

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 **2022**

o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Random Lake VillageLast Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 **2022**

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

- 1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit	
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance	
January	15	13.5	2	1	0	0	
February	15	13.5	1	1	0	0	
March	15	13.5	2	1	0	0	
April	15	13.5	0	1	0	0	
May	15	13.5	2	1	0	0	
June	15	13.5	2	1	0	0	
July	July 15 13.5 1				0	0	
August	15	13.5	3	1	0	0	
September	15	13.5	11	1	0	0	
October	15	13.5	5	1	0	0	o
November	15	13.5	4	1	0	0	
December	15	13.5	6	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10			
Months of d	ischarge/yr						
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge					7	3	
Exceedances					0	0	
Points					0	0	
Total numb	per of points					0	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2.	Flow	Motor	Cal	ibration
Z .	LIOM	Merei	Cai	ibi atioi

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

• Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2022-10-06

o No

If No, please explain:

- 3. Treatment Problems
- 3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

Failure of alum pump for P removal. Problems with chlorine and bisulfate pumps for the disinfection system.

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?
- Yes

Random Lake Village

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 **2022**

٧O

If Yes, please explain:

Residual chlorine. System needed to be calibrated with the new operator. Fecal coliforms. System needed to be calibrated with new operator.

- 4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?
- Yes
- No

If Yes, please explain:

- 4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?
- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	20	18	33	1	1	1
February	20	18	33	1	1	1
March	20	18	13	1	0	0
April	20	18	8	1	0	0
May	20	18	6	1	0	0
June	20	18	3	1	0	0
July	20	18	6	1	0	0
August	12	10.8	2	1	0	0
September	12	10.8	4	1	0	0
October	12	10.8	3	1	0	0
November	12	10.8	3	1	0	0
December	12	10.8	5	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge: 7						3
Exceedances 2						2
Points 14						6
Total Number of Points						20
NOTE: For	cyctome that	discharge inter	mittently to state	waters the ne	ints nor month	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Failure of the tertiary filters

Total Points Generated	20
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	80
Section Grade	С

20

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly	
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit	
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit	
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	for Week		for Week	for Week	Exceed	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance	
January	5.1		.323	0						
February	5.1		.879	0						
March	5.1		.06	0						
April	3.2		.047	0						
May	2		.023	0						
June	2		.065	0						
July	2		.251	0						
August	2		0	0						
September	2		.367	0						
October	4.5		.39	0						0
November	5.1		.417	0						
December	5.1		.213	0						
Points per each exceedance of Monthly average:							10			
Exceedances, Monthly:							0			
Points:							0			
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):							2.5			
Exceedance	s, Weekly	:							0	
Points:							0			
Total Number of Points								0		

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit
	phosphorus Limit	Average phosphorus	Discharge with a	Exceedance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	Limit	
January	1	0.228	1	0
February	1	0.154	1	0
March	1	0.078	1	0
April	1	0.059	1	0
May	1	0.026	1	0
June	1	0.448	1	0
July	1	0.263	1	0
August	1	0.738	1	0
September	1	0.259	1	0
October	1	0.398	1	0
November	1	0.333	1	0
December	1	0.499	1	0
Months of Discharg				
Points per each e	10			
Exceedances	0			
Total Number of	Points			0

0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)	
2. Land Application Site 2.1 Last Year's Approved and Active Land Application Sites 2.1.1 How many acres did you have? 209.9 acres 2.1.2 How many acres did you use? 28)
o N/A	
3. Biosolids Metals Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit: 3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year. Outfall No. 003 - CAKE SLUDGE Parameter 80% H.Q. Ceiling Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 80% High Ceiling	

Outfall No.	. 003	- CAI	KE SLU	JDGE	=													
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75								1.689						0	0
Cadmium		39	85								<.55						0	0
Copper		1500	4300								56						0	0
Lead		300	840								4.046						0	0
Mercury		17	57								1.7						0	0
Molybdenum	60		75								<4.9					0		0
Nickel	336		420								.0033					0		0
Selenium	80		100								<19					0		0
Zinc		2800	7500								94						0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

• 0 (0 Points)

Random Lake Village

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2022 5/16/2023

0

0

- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- \circ > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 **Exceedence Points**
- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1 (10 Points)
- \circ > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?
- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Outfall Number:	003
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	Fecal Coliform
Sample Dates:	01/01/2022 - 12/31/2022
Density:	1,200
Sample Concentration Amount:	MPN/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	Aerobic Digestion
Process Description:	Aerobic Digestion

- 4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.
- 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application? Yes (40 Points)
- No

If yes, what action was taken?

- 5. Vector Attraction Reduction (per outfall):
- 5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

Random Lake Village

Outfall Number:

Method Date:

12/31/2022

Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:

Requirement Met:

Land Applied:

Yes

Limit (if applicable):
Results (if applicable):

5.2 Was the limit exceeded or the process criteria not met at the time of land application?

• Yes (40 Points)

No

If yes, what action was taken?

6. Biosolids Storage

- 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site?
- >= 180 days (0 Points)
- o 150 179 days (10 Points)
- 0 120 149 days (20 Points)
- 90 119 days (30 Points)
- 0 < 90 days (40 Points)</p>
- N/A (0 Points)
- 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.

7. Issues

7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

0

2022

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/16/2023

0

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

1. Plant Staffing	
1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	
• Yes	
O No	
If No, please explain:	
Could use more help/staff for:	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and	
fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?	
• Yes	
O No	
If No, please explain:	
2. Preventative Maintenance	
2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on	
major equipment items?	
Yes (Continue with question 2) □□ N (40	
o No (40 points)□□	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
New staff is not aware of one and is working on developing a PM plan.	
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication,	
and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?	10
• Yes	10
o No (10 points)	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and	
filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? o Yes	
Paper file system	
Computer system	
Both paper and computer system	
• No (10 points)	
3. O&M Manual	
3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used	
as a reference when needed?	
• Yes	
o No	_
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs	
4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.O Excellent	
o Very good	
o Good	
o Fair	
• Poor	
Describe your rating:	

Random Lake Village

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/16/2023

2022

The WWTP is 45 years old and the RBCs are in poor shape. A written PM plan needs to be implemented.

Total Points Generated	10
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90
Section Grade	В

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

Operator Certification and Education

1.1 Did y	0 points) RUCE R NEERHOF	n-charge during the	e report year?			0
2.1 In acand subc	ation Requirements cordance with Chapter NR 114.5 lass(es) were required for the op t plant and what level and subcla	erator-in-charge (C	DIC) to operat	e the waste	water	
Class		Basic	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
A1	Suspended Growth Processes				X	
A2	Attached Growth Processes	X	X			
A3	Recirculating Media Filters				X	
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				X	
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid					
В	Solids Separation	X			X	
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х			X	20
Р	Total Phosphorus	X			X	
N	Total Nitrogen					
D	Disinfection	Х			X	
L	Laboratory				X	
U	Unique Treatment Systems					
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	NA	NA	
plant? (N • Yes (0	the operator-in-charge certified a ote: Certification in subclass SS points) 0 points)				operate this	
3.1 In the to ensure of the fol One of An ar An ar Second A con None	sion Planning e event of the loss of your design the continued proper operation lowing options (check all that apport more additional certified opera rangement with another certified rangement with another communerator on staff who has an opera tified within one year sultant to serve as your certified of the above (20 points) of the above" is selected, please	and maintenance only)? tors on staff operator hity with a certified tor-in-training certi	of the plant the	at includes o	one or more	0
4 Continu	ing Education Credits					

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing

Education Credits at the following rates?

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Random Lake Village** 5/16/2023 2022 OIT and Basic Certification:

- Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

- Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	20
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	80
Section Grade	С

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

Financial Management

 Provider of Financial Information Name: 	
Stehanie Waala	
Telephone: 920-994-4852 (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address (optional):	
clerktreasurer@randomlake.wi.com	
 2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ? ○ Yes (0 points) □□ No (40 points) If No, please explain: 	
Excessive past equipment replacement	
2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2022 ● 0-2 years ago (0 points) □□ ○ 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□ ○ N/A (private facility)	40
2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? • Yes (0 points)	
O No (40 points)	
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3] 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2020 1-2 years ago (0 points) • 3 or more years ago (20 points) • N/A If N/A, please explain:	
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity	
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR \$ 336,297.00	
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, \$ 0.00 audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance \$ 336,297.00	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) + \$ 10,769.86	

Random Lake Village	Last Updated 5/16/2023	d: Reporting For 2022
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)	0.	00
3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year \$	347,066.	86
All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.		
3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repair	rs from 3.2.5 a	bove.
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 347,	066.86	20
Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based of Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstruct header in the left-side menu. 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund about greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)? • Yes • No If No, please explain.	. Further calcu tions link unde	lation r Info
 4. Future Planning 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already lion No 		
Project Project Description #		Approximate Construction Year
1 A major upgrade is planned for 2023	\$15,000,000	2024
5. Financial Management General Comments		
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE		
6. Collection System 6.1 Energy Usage 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:		
COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed		
Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 3		

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

January February March April May June July August September October November December Total Average	1,667 1,975 1,824 1,309 1,453 1,499 732 526 564 538 838 1,599 14,524 1,210	0	- - - - - - -
March April May June July August September October November December Total Average	1,824 1,309 1,453 1,499 732 526 564 538 838 1,599 14,524	_	- - - - - - -
April May June July August September October November December Total Average	1,309 1,453 1,499 732 526 564 538 838 1,599 14,524	_	- - - - - - -
May June July August September October November December Total Average	1,453 1,499 732 526 564 538 838 1,599 14,524	_	- - - - - - -
June July August September October November December Total Average	1,499 732 526 564 538 838 1,599 14,524	_	- - - - - -
July August September October November December Total Average	732 526 564 538 838 1,599 14,524	_	- - - - -
August September October November December Total Average	526 564 538 838 1,599 14,524	_	- - - - -
September October November December Total Average	564 538 838 1,599 14,524	_	- - - -
October November December Total Average	538 838 1,599 14,524	_	- - - -
November December Total Average	838 1,599 14,524	_	- - - -
December Total Average	1,599 14,524	_	
Total Average	14,524	_	- -
Average	<u> </u>	_	
	1,210	_	
6.1.2 Comme		0	1
☐ Extended S☐ Flow Meter ☐ Pneumatic ☐ SCADA Sy ☐ Self-Primir ☐ Submersib ☐ Variable S☐ Other:	ring and Recording Pumping stem ng Pumps Ile Pumps		
6.2.2 Comme	nts:		
6.3 Has an En ϵ	ergy Study been performe	ed for your pump/lift statio	ons?

Random Lake Village

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 **2022**

6.4 Fut	ture Energ	v Related	Equipment
---------	------------	-----------	-----------

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

n	O	n	e

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	27,240	5.99	4,548	3.81	7,150	2,144
February	30,960	7.09	4,367	4.56	6,789	1,659
March	34,560	10.44	3,310	5.18	6,672	1,634
April	29,230	11.96	2,444	5.19	5,632	841
May	27,840	10.93	2,547	5.30	5,253	150
June	29,400	10.79	2,725	7.11	4,135	
July	24,960	9.16	2,725	5.83	4,281	
August	29,640	10.06	2,946	5.61	5,283	22
September	27,720	8.99	3,083	6.03	4,597	460
October	26,640	8.20	3,249	5.15	5,173	1,178
November	33,780	11.38	2,968	5.10	6,624	1,541
December	31,560	11.70	2,697	13.58	2,324	968
Total	353,530	116.69		72.45		10,597
Average	29,461	9.72	3,134	6.04	5,326	1,060

7.1.2	Comment	ts:
-------	---------	-----

☐ UV Disinfection☐ Variable Speed Drives☐

☐ Nitrification☐ SCADA System

☐ Other:

Random Lake Village	Last Updated: 5/16/2023	Reporting For: 2022
7.2.2 Comments:		
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment		
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for treatment facility?	or the future for	· your
8. Biogas Generation		
8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? ● No		
o Yes		
If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): \square Flared Off		
☐ Building Heat ☐ Process Heat		
☐ Generate Electricity		
☐ Other:		
9. Energy Efficiency Study		
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?◆ No		
○ Yes☐ Entire facility		
Year:		
By Whom:		
Describe and Comment:		
☐ Part of the facility		
Year:		
By Whom:		
Describe and Comments		
Describe and Comment:		

Random Lake Village	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/16/2023	2022

Total Points Generated	60
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	40
Section Grade	F

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented? 	
o Yes	
• No	
If No, explain:	
The staff cannot find the CMOM from the previous Director of Public Works. A new one will have to be created.	
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)? o Yes	
• No (30 points)	
○ N/A	
If No or N/A, explain:	
The CMOM cannot be found. A new one will have to be created.	
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the	
components and items that apply) ☐ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]	
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:	
Did you accomplish them?	
O Yes	
○ No	
If No, explain:	
☐ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]☐☐	
Does this chapter of your CMOM include: ☐ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)	
☐ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities	
☐ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public	
☐ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]	
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?	
Trial is the regard, and regarded the test of the content of the c	
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY)	
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: ☐ Private property inflow and infiltration	
☐ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection	
☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection	
☐Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary	
☐ Fat, oil and grease control	
☐ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance	
☐ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]	
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following: □ Equipment and replacement part inventories	
☐ Up-to-date sewer system map	

Random Lake Village

		5/16/2023	2022
information for O&M acceptable A description of routin A description of routin Capacity assessment Basement back assess Regular O&M training Design and Performance What standards and procepthe sewer collection systems property?	ctivities, investigation e operation and main program sment and correction e Provisions [NR 210.2 edures are established on, including building DNR NR 110 Standardon, and Testing sponse Plan [NR 210.2 ponse capability inclustic communication process and clean-up cocols protocols and implementation process and implementation process and complementation process and implementation process and implem	tenance activities (see question 2 below) 23 (4) (e)] d for the design, construction, and inspection of sewers and interceptor sewers on private ds and/or local Municipal Code Requirements 23 (4) (f)] de: edures entation procedures [NR 210.23 (5)] nat apply):	of 30
Others:			
	r collection system m	aintenance program include the following and indicate the amount maintained. % of system/year % of system/year # per L.S./year	
rehabilitation Mainline	1	% of manholes rehabbed	
rehabilitation Private sewer	0	% of sewer lines rehabbed	
inspections	10	% of system/year	

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Random Lake Village

			5/16/202	2022	
Private sewer I/I					
removal	0	% of private serv	rices		
River or water		0/ of nine one original		intoin od	
crossings	0		igs evaluated or ma		
Please include additiona	i comments about your	sanitary sewer co	ollection system belo	<u>w:</u>	
3. Performance Indicators		51 i			
3.1 Provide the following 35.3 Tot	tal actual amount of pre				
	nual average precipitati	•			
	es of sanitary sewer	` ,	•		
	mber of lift stations				
1 Nu	mber of lift station failu	ires			
0 Nu	mber of sewer pipe fail	ures			
1 Nu	mber of basement back	cup occurrences			
1 Nu	mber of complaints	•			
Ave	erage daily flow in MGD	(if available)			
Pea	ak monthly flow in MGD	(if available)			
Pea	ak hourly flow in MGD (if available)			
3.2 Performance ratios for	3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:				
0.33 Lift station failures (failures/year)					
0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)					
0.08 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)					
	sement backups (numb	•			
	mplaints (number/sewe	•			
	aking factor ratio (Peak	•	, ,,		
Pea	aking factor ratio (Peak	Hourly:Annual Da	ily Avg)		
4. O					
4. Overflows		MENT EACH ITY (T	50) 0)/5D5/ 0///0 D5		
Date	WER (SSO) AND TREATI Locatio		Cause	Estimated	
Date	Locatio	,,,,	Cause	Volume	
0 12/22/2022 3:01:00 AM - 6	90 Wolf Road		Equipment Failure	400	
12/22/2022 3:07:00 AM					
** If there were any SSOs or TF	Os that are not listed above,	please contact the DN	R and stop work on this	section until	
corrected. What actions were taken, or are underway, to reduce or eliminate SSO or TFO occurences in the future?					
The SSO was an equipment fail				n.	
5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I))				
5.1 Was infiltration/inflow	•	ir community last	year?		
o Yes					
NoIf Yes, please describe:					
i res, piedse describe.					

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Random Lake Village

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 **2022**

3/10/2023	
5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year? • Yes	
● No	
If Yes, please describe:	
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:	
No changes	
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?	
Televising and repairs.	

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	70
Section Grade	D

Random Lake Village Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/16/2023 2022

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0021415

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS	
Influent	A	4	3	12	
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40	
TSS	С	2	5	10	
Ammonia	A	4	5	20	
Phosphorus	A	4	3	12	
Biosolids	A	4	5	20	
Staffing/PM	В	3	1	3	
OpCert	С	2	1	2	
Financial	F	0	1	0	
Collection	D	1	3	3	
TOTALS	•		37	122	
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.30					

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Random Lake Village	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/16/2023	2022

Resolution or	Owner's	Statement
---------------	---------	-----------

Name of Governing	
Body or Owner:	
Village of Random Lake	
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:	
Resolution Number:	
Date of Submittal:	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR	
SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):	
Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A	
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A	
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = C	
Operational problems with the filters. Corrected as of 5/16/2023.	
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A	
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A	
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A	
Staffing: Grade = B	
Starring: Grade = D	
Operator Certification: Grade = C	
The current OIC will have enough experience hours in October to change the attached growth	
from OIT to basic.	
Financial Management: Grade = F	
The Village is addressing the sewer rates for present operation and future upgrades.	
Collection Systems: Grade = D	
(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)	
A CMOM needs to be created.	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL	
GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G. P. A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G. P. A. loss, than 3.00)	
(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00) G.P.A. = 3.30	